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Objective
This is a report of 50 consecutive patients with juxtapyloric perforations after smoking “crack” cocaine (cocaine base) at one urban public hospital.

Summary Background Data
Although the exact causal relation between smoking crack cocaine and a subsequent juxtapyloric perforation has not been defined, surgical services in urban public hospitals now treat significant numbers of male addicts with such perforations. This report describes the patient set, presentation, and surgical management and suggests a possible role for Helicobacter pylori in contributing to these perforations.

Methods
A retrospective chart review was performed, supplemented by data from the patient log in the department of surgery.

Results
From 1994 to 1998, 50 consecutive patients (48 men, 2 women) with a mean age of 37 had epigastric pain and signs of peritonitis a median of 2 to 4 hours (but up to 48 hours) after smoking crack cocaine. A history of chronic smoking of crack as well as chronic alcohol abuse was noted in all patients; four had a prior history of presumed ulcer disease in the upper gastrointestinal tract. Free air was present on an upright abdominal x-ray in 84% of patients, and all underwent operative management. A 3- to 5-mm juxtapyloric perforation, usually in the prepyloric area, was found in all patients. Omental patch closure was used in 49 patients and falciform ligament closure in 1. Two patients underwent parietal cell vagotomy as well.

In the later period of the review, antral mucosal biopsies were performed through the juxtapyloric perforation in five patients. Urease testing was positive for infection with H. pylori in four, and these patients were prescribed appropriate antimicrobial drugs.

Conclusions
Juxtapyloric perforations after the smoking of crack cocaine occur in a largely male population of drug addicts who are 8 to 10 years younger than the patient group that historically has perforations in the pyloroduodenal area. These perforations are usually 3 to 5 mm in diameter, and an antral mucosal biopsy for subsequent urease testing should be performed if the location and size of the ulcer allow this to be done safely. Omental patch closure is appropriate therapy for patients without a history of prior ulcer disease; antimicrobial therapy and omeprazole are prescribed when H. pylori is present.

In 1996, an estimated 1.7 million persons in the United States used cocaine (benzoylmethylecgonine or C17H21NO4) at least once a month.1 Approximately 40% of these addicts used “crack” cocaine (cocaine base), a street product made by mixing cocaine hydrochloride (powder or crystalline cocaine) with alkali in boiling water.

Crack cocaine has been available for illicit use for approximately 12 years in the United States. Although the numerous adverse effects of using cocaine hydrochloride intranasally (“snorting”) or intravenously are well known,2–11 only a few
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reports have documented the complication of gastroduodenal perforation after the smoking of crack cocaine in glass pipes or cigarettes.12-16 This is a report of the recent experience with cocaine-related juxtapyloric gastrointestinal perforations at Grady Memorial Hospital in Atlanta, Georgia.

METHODS

Data were obtained by a retrospective chart review of all patients undergoing emergency laparotomies for cocaine-related juxtapyloric gastrointestinal perforations by surgical faculty and residents from Emory University at Grady Memorial Hospital, Atlanta, Georgia. Additional data were obtained from a patient log maintained in the department of surgery.

RESULTS

From 1994 to 1998, 50 consecutive patients (48 men, 2 women) with a mean age of 37 years (range 24 to 52) were treated for acute juxtapyloric gastrointestinal perforations after smoking crack cocaine. During the same time interval at the same hospital, a total of 140 patients with acute gastroduodenal perforations underwent emergency surgery; therefore, perforations related to smoking crack cocaine accounted for 35.7% of the total. The median time of onset of abdominal pain was 2 to 4 hours after smoking crack, although it was nearly 48 hours in one patient. All 50 patients were crack addicts, all were chronic abusers of alcohol, and 4 had some prior history of ulcer disease. Epigastric pain was present in 48 patients (96%), signs of peritonitis were noted on physical examination in all 50 patients, and free intraperitoneal air was present on an upright abdominal x-ray in 42 patients (84%).

At the time of laparotomy, all perforations (median size 3 to 5 mm) were in the prepyloric or juxtapyloric area or in the first portion of the duodenum. The majority, however, were in the prepyloric or juxtapyloric area.

All patients underwent exploratory laparotomy. Surgical management included closure of the perforated ulcer with a viable omental patch in 49 patients and with the falciform ligament in 1 patient. In two of the patients with a prior history of ulcer disease and only modest peritonitis at the time of laparotomy, a parietal cell vagotomy was performed as well. In the last several months of the series, antral mucosal biopsies were performed by enlarging the site of the perforated ulcer in five patients. After placing a fragment of the specimen in a CLOtest (Tri-Med Specialties, Inc., Western Australia), the urease enzyme of Helicobacter pylori was found to be present in four of these patients.

No intraabdominal abscesses occurred after surgery. Reflecting a policy of mandatory presence of a nasogastric tube and NPO status for 5 to 6 days after omental patch closure of a perforated gastroduodenal ulcer, the mean length of stay was 8 days. The four patients who had positive test results for the presence of H. pylori were prescribed appropriate antimicrobials and omeprazole.

Outpatient follow-up was documented in <50% of patients. No effort was made to obtain long-term follow-up in this group of patients.

DISCUSSION

Although the incidence of perforated duodenal ulcers in the United States has been reported to be decreasing, patients with this problem continue to be seen at public hospitals. During the past 5 to 10 years, a significant percentage of patients with acute juxtapyloric perforations treated in such hospitals have been young men who have recently inhaled crack cocaine.

There are several reasons for the continuing use of crack cocaine among chronic users of illicit drugs. First, the 100-mg (or more) “rock” (small cube of crack) sold by the dealer on the street is 75% to 90% pure cocaine, costs only $5 to $10, and is easy to carry and hide from the police. Second, crack can be smoked directly by placing part of a rock on a small screen in the bowl of a glass pipe and heating the bowl externally with a cigarette lighter. As the crack vaporizes from the heat and a cracking sound is heard, inhalation of the vapors will produce an effect in the brain in <20 seconds.10 This ease of use and the rapid effect, without the risks of intravenous injection as was practiced with cocaine hydrochloride, make crack a most appealing street drug. Third, crack has a significant potential for rapidly leading to chronic addiction, as with all types of cocaine. In the report by Washton et al,2 144 crack addicts were asked to rate how addicting crack is on a scale of 0 (not addictive) to 10 (extremely addictive). A rating of 8 or higher was chosen by 88% of those surveyed. This certainly helps explain why >38% of the 333,359 patients entering publicly funded drug treatment programs in the United States in 1995 listed cocaine as their primary illicit drug.17 Fourth, there is the well-known pharmacologic phenomenon that occurs when the abuser of cocaine simultaneously abuses alcohol. The human liver combines these agents into cocaethylene, a substance that intensifies the euphoric effect of cocaine.18

When given systemically, cocaine inhibits the presynaptic reuptake of dopamine and increases the postsynaptic concentration of this neurotransmitter in the brain, thereby leading to pleasurable sensations.18 In other areas of the body, inhibition of the presynaptic reuptake of norepinephrine and dopamine produces a physiologic state similar to that when a sympathomimetic drug is administered.7 The adverse effects of chronic abuse of cocaine on the cardiovascular system are, therefore, readily understood.19,20

In the gastrointestinal system, cocaine causes an increased local concentration of adrenergic neurotransmitters in the enteric ganglia, sympathetic neuronal projections to the intestinal epithelium, and the walls of mesenteric blood vessels.8 As local increases in norepinephrine occur and
stimulate alpha-adrenergic receptors, there is increased vasoconstriction throughout the gastrointestinal tract.

Both ischemic enteritis and colitis secondary to cocaine abuse have been reported in the surgical literature since 1985.21–27 The segmental areas of ischemia noted at the time of laparotomy or endoscopy have resolved without resection in some patients. There has been, however, at least one case in which a patient who chronically abused crack developed necrosis of the midgut, mucosal necrosis extending from the midgut to the pyloric antrum, and widespread necrosis and infarcts of the liver and spleen, respectively.9

The exact etiology of gastroduodenal perforations after smoking crack cocaine is unknown. Theories proposed or reviewed in previously published papers on the topic have included the following:

1. Focal ischemia13
2. Effects on gastric motility14
3. Increased air swallowing and increased intraabdominal pressure caused by breath holding15
4. In situ vascular thrombosis secondary to cocaine’s effects on platelets1,6,28
5. Increased concentration of ACTH and corticosterone (in rats) with further inhibition of the reuptake of adrenergic neurotransmitters.16,29,30

The most appealing theories to date have been those emphasizing focal vasoconstriction and in situ thrombosis. Cocaine has a serum half-life of 45 to 90 minutes, so the relatively early onset of symptoms in patients with cocaine-related perforations of the juxtapyloric area in this series (2 to 4 hours) is readily explained on an ischemic basis. Unfortunately, the theory of acute ischemia is not as acceptable when considering the one patient in this series (no H. pylori) and the three patients in the series from Lee et al13 whose symptoms started 24 to 60 hours after the use of crack cocaine. And, as stated in the recent publication by Sharma et al,16 the temporal association between the use of crack cocaine and perforations of the gastroduodenal area “does not confirm a cause-effect relationship.”

The 80% incidence of H. pylori in the five biopsies of antral mucosa in the later period of the series was a surprise to us. To perform the biopsy, one must obtain an otolaryngologic biopsy instrument and enlarge the site of perforation to allow passage of the instrument proximally into the gastric antrum. With a 2- to 3-mm juxtapyloric perforation that would be easily closed with a viable omental patch, enlarging the perforation and waiting for the instrument to be obtained are not appealing during an emergency operation. And, to avoid errors in transfer of the specimen to the laboratory, it is most helpful to have the CLOtest immediately available in the operating room.

In retrospect, the high incidence of Helicobacter in children is 70% to 75%.32 In young people living in economically depressed urban areas of the United States, sharing glass pipes on a daily basis, and using an illicit drug that impairs the function of macrophages, a high incidence of H. pylori should be found.6,31 The unanswered question from the small subset of patients in this series with documented Helicobacter infection is whether this predisposes the crack cocaine addict to gastroduodenal perforations.

Appropriate surgical therapy for small juxtapyloric gastrointestinal perforations thought to be related to smoking crack cocaine is the use of an omental patch closure. When a prior history of gastroduodenal ulcer disease is obtained, the addition of a definitive ulcer procedure should be considered for several reasons. The population of crack cocaine addicts with perforations is typically 8 to 10 years younger than the usual patient group with acid-peptic-Helicobacter perforations.33–35 This group is unlikely to comply with short- or long-term medical therapy or to complete a program in drug rehabilitation. A parietal cell vagotomy (as was performed in two patients in this series) would be an appropriate additional procedure when the perforated ulcer is juxtapyloric or duodenal, small, and readily covered with an omental patch. When the perforated ulcer is large or located in an area not readily amenable to omental patch closure (i.e., gastric ulcer), gastrectomy with or without truncal vagotomy is appropriate in the hemodynamically normal patient. This is recommended whether a history of ulcers is present or not.33,35 Finally, an alternative approach is the use of laparoscopic patching of the perforated ulcer, as reported by Arrillaga et al.36

At the time of discharge, it is unclear whether the patient should be instructed to take short-term H₂-receptor blockers or omeprazole, the proton pump inhibitor, as the relation of gastric acid to these perforations is unknown. In our practice, either agent is routinely prescribed, although it is unlikely to be taken by the patient. When an infection with H. pylori has been documented on the biopsy of the antral mucosa, the patient is prescribed omeprazole, clarithromycin, and metronidazole or bismuth subsalicylate for 1 week.37

CONCLUSION

From a review of 50 patients with crack cocaine-related juxtapyloric gastrointestinal perforations treated at one urban public hospital, the following conclusions can be drawn:

1. These perforations occur in a predominately male population of drug addicts who are 8 to 10 years younger than the usual group of patients with pyloroduodenal perforations.
2. The perforations are small and most commonly located in the juxtapyloric area.
3. Omental patch closure is the most appropriate therapy when no prior history of ulcer disease is known.
4. Infection with *H. pylori* may be a contributing factor to these perforations in this population of addicts.
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Discussion

**DR. TALMADGE A. BOWDEN** (Augusta, Georgia): In 1988, I had the privilege to patch a perforated prepyloric ulcer in a young male who had had no prior history of peptic ulcer disease, but he did admit to using this new drug—at least in the Augusta area—called crack cocaine.

This form of fairly pure cocaine is so named because of the crackling noise that occurs when you heat it and vaporize it. The addicts tell me it sounds similar to milk being poured over Rice Crispies.

The patient did well, but as in this series that you have just been listening to, was lost to follow-up, never keeping his initial post-operative clinic appointment.

Since that time, I have seen in the three hospitals that comprise the teaching facilities for the Medical College of Georgia, three to five patients a year that fit this profile of crack cocaine-associated perforations of the distal stomach or proximal duodenum. There are probably others that we have missed, but sometimes, as Dr. Griffen indicated in his address yesterday, our history-taking may not always be precise, and our patients may not be as honest as we would expect them to be.

Is there an epidemic of this process? The authors indicate by both the title of their manuscript and their experience with 50 patients over a 3-year period, the Medline didn’t fail me; I could only locate reports of perhaps 63 cases reported in this decade in the American literature. So for my own edification and perhaps that of the authors, could I see a show of hands from those in the audience who have seen patients with cocaine-associated perforations of the GI tract?

Gosh. That’s probably a fourth. Well, clearly, we do have a new
problem in gastroduodenal disease. This problem, which can also
give us new opportunities, may shed new light on the exact
pathophysiology of peptic ulcer disease, the understanding of
which has been for me over the last 30 years like peering in a
mirror dimly.

Crack cocaine may provide us with a different animal model for
studying, redefining, and treating peptic disease, so I would ask the
authors, have you taken this problem to the lab?

The authors have studied the incidence of the presence of
*Helicobacter pylori* in five of their patients, finding the organism
present in four. The implication of this observation, the role of
finding the cause of a perforation, is not clear, since *Helicobacter pylori*
has a very significant gastric presence and may or may not
cause disease.

I would like to know more about the cellular and vascular
conditions that are present in the tissue involved in the perforation,
which leads me to another question. Would the authors consider
taking a #15 blade knife and shaving off a little bit of the tissue for
histological EM, histochemical, or bacteriological studies?

Full-thickness biopsy of perforated gastric ulcers prior to clo-
sure of patch is a traditional method, which carries essentially no
added morbidity. This simple biopsy may tell us if ischemia indeed
has the influence on the etiology of this process that has been
postulated.

In two of the 50 patients, the authors chose to add a parietal cell
vagotomy because of “prior history of ulcer disease.” The manu-
script does not give the details of this prior history, but that
probably does not matter, because I want to stress that I feel that
parietal cell vagotomy is inappropriate in the setting where isch-
emia may be the etiology of or at least a contributing factor in the
development of the perforation.

One of the few complications that we have had with parietal cell
vagotomy is the occasional occurrence of lesser curve necrosis,
probably on the basis of ischemia. To me, doing a parietal cell
vagotomy in a potentially ischemic stomach may add not only
insult to injury but injury to injury.

In addition, naturally verbal gastroenterologists would submit
that even the noncocaine-associated perforated peptic ulcers do not
need an acid-reducing operation in this era of omeprazole and
antibiotics, which many of them feel do a better job of reducing
ulcer recurrence than does surgery.

Dr. Feliciano, you posed this very question in the *Surgical
And have you seen any recurrences in your rather large patient
population?

Treating gastroduodenal perforations with an omental patch is
straightforward, simple, and success can be expected most of the
time. There is a small but ever-growing body of literature that
indicates that patching perforations laparoscopically may be at
least equal to, if not better than, the open method. You did all of
your operations by the traditional open method. Did you ever
consider laparoscopic approach, and would you consider that now?

Finally, I believe you may have the largest single worldwide
institutional experience with crack cocaine-associated gastroduo-
adenal perforations. I believe that provides you with a major op-
portunity to guide the rest of us in the best short- and long-term
management of this problem, and we all look forward to hearing
more from Atlanta on this subject.

**Dr. Timothy C. Fabian** (Memphis, Tennessee): We have either
not observed or have not recognized this pathology in Memphis.
Either Memphians are more responsible and upstanding than At-
lantans or perhaps the physicians are less observant—probably the
latter.

I have a few questions. First, what was the total number of
gastroduodenal perforations at Grady over this 3-year period? It
would be interesting to compare the crack-induced cohort with the
presumed more traditional population.

Secondly, would you define the number of perforations in the
duodenal portion, as opposed to the pyloric and prepyloric re-

gions? As in the manuscript, it was stated that the majority were in
this area, as was stated today.

Third, it was stated that the median interval from smoking to
abdominal pain was 2 to 4 hours. I think, as you indicated in your
presentation, how reliable do you think is the illicit drug use
history in these patients?

My final question is twofold and related to the average 8-day
length of stay. In the manuscript, you mentioned that it was the
rationale for your institution to have a 5- to 6-day period of
nasogastric decompression, and giving the patients nothing by
mouth, of course, during this time. The question is, what is the
rational? And, two, do you have an ongoing project with your
psychiatry department involving therapy for this patient popula-

tion?

**Dr. Richard J. Field, Jr.** (Centreville, Mississippi): In looking
back, after reading this abstract, over the cases we have had in the
last several years, it did occur to me that most of our cases are
younger, they have a shorter period of time of ulcer symptoms. We
have done only the omentum patch technique. We have not felt it
necessary to do any more definitive procedure, because it has been
short-term.

I was intrigued also by your use of the biopsy at the time of the
operation. Frankly, that hadn’t occurred to me, and I wonder if you
would comment a little more in depth about this. Do you simply
shave a portion of the edge of the perforation? Or do you go across
that into the enteral mucosa of the stomach? And in doing so,
would you comment, too, about your real reasons for this?

The serum study of *H. pylori* is pretty accurate. Is it worthwhile
doing? Do we have a higher yield? And, if so, I’d like to hear your
comments on that.

**Dr. David V. Feliciano** (Closing Discussion): Dr. Bowden, we have
don’t taken this to the lab. I think some of the questions you
raised are very valid, and we have considered clinically shaving
specimens to get a little bit more information about whether
thrombosis is actually the cause. Because, as I hope I made clear
in the presentation, nobody really knows if this is the cause. It
seems almost peculiar that this particular area, not necessarily an
anatomic watershed in terms of blood supply, is really a site that
is so commonly affected.

The question about parietal cell vagotomy is an interesting one
for me. I, frankly, hadn’t thought about it, Joe. I have never seen
a lesser curve perforation. I have certainly read about them in
England, and the occasional complication occurring in the United
States. But at the time we first started seeing these, I was an
aggressive vagotomist and enterectomist. And it seemed to me this
was a lesser procedure for these patients.

I will say that we have learned, in my long experience in public
hospitals, that young male addicts do not take medication after
discharge. And certainly if I saw a patient this week who had a
large perforation after cocaine, with or without a previous history,
I would do a vagotomy and enterectomy. And there is actually a patient on the 6B ward at Grady right now, who perforated in 1988, perforated again about 10 days ago, and Grace Rozycki just did the VNA on him, because, I think, again we all thought that was appropriate.

Laparoscopic patching—probably a reflection of many of these patients coming in at night and knowing that, with a small upper midline incision, we can have this operation done in a matter of minutes.

Dr. Fabian, the total number of gastroduodenal perforations is not available in the manuscript, but I will tell you that we feel that we are seeing a ratio of about three cocaine perforations for every one to two duodenal perforations at the present time. So this actually is exceeding our regular ulcer perforation population. Less than five perforations occurred beyond the pyloris, but, Tim, the reason I left this information vague in the manuscript is because I have been doing perforated ulcers for a long time in public hospitals, and I frequently can’t tell where they are because of the inflammation. It is hard to tell if they are prepyloric, pyloric channel, immediately postpyloric, or in the bulb, and therefore, the operative notes were simply not clear enough to distinguish beyond what was presented.

Is the timing of presentation in terms of history by the drug addicts reliable? Of course not, but we did ask them, and I present the information to you.

The reason our patients are kept with a nasogastric tube and NPO is because I was heavily influenced in my career in GI surgery by the late George Jordan, a former president of this Association. And when I was in Houston, we had a very strict policy about keeping everybody NPO and keeping a nasogastric tube in for 7 days, because Dr. Jordan strongly felt that the 8% to 10% incidence of in-hospital reperforations historically reported could be avoided by not feeding these patients until the patch was better seated. In the modern era, it has been incredibly difficult to get residents to do this, even on my own general surgery service, and I have now, slowly but surely, gotten down to about 5 to 6 days. But it does explain the length of stay.

Tim, all of the patients are offered an opportunity to get into counselling for addiction just through verbal communication to them. We don’t force them to do this. We don’t keep them in the hospital the way some trauma centers do with their alcoholics. And none of them, to my knowledge, has chosen to be rehabilitated.

Dr. Field, the biopsy really demands that you have to enlarge the perforation because, I have to tell you, these are really small, very typical perforations, with some anterior inflammation. And if you want to stick the EENT biopsy instrument through them and angle it up to the antral mucosa, you are torturing yourself a little bit, because you do have to enlarge it. But, again, the success rate of omentopexy suggests that this can easily be done in those patients.